

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/902,772                 | 07/12/2001                        | Masahiro Iwamoto     | 46124-5001-01 1361      |                  |  |
| 9629                       | 7590 10/07/2002                   |                      |                         |                  |  |
| MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP |                                   |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|                            | YLVANIA AVENUE N'<br>DN, DC 20004 | W                    | CARLSON,                | KAREN C          |  |
|                            |                                   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                            |                                   |                      | 1653                    | Cı               |  |
|                            |                                   |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/07/2002 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |   |  | A   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  | Application No.   |  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Asticus Com   |  | 09/902,772  |  | IWAMOTO ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  |  | Examin r  |  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Karen Cochrane  | · - ' — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —  | 1653  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing de  If the period for reply specified above is let  If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended  Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cl  Status | COMMUNICATION.  the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 te of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply e maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing | i6(a). In no event, however<br>within the statutory mini<br>ill apply and will expire S<br>cause the application to | ver, may a reply be tim<br>mum of thirty (30) days<br>IX (6) MONTHS from<br>become ABANDONEI | ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communi   | cation(s) filed on   | ·   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  | 2b)□ Thi   | s action is non-fir   | nal.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  | . (  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are obj   | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1, 5, and 20-39</u>   |  | ion and/or electio  | n requirement  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   | are subject to restrict  | ion and/or electio  | irrequirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is object   | ed to by the Examiner  | •<br>•  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on  | is/are: a)□ accep  | ted or b)□ objecte  | ed to by the Exar  | miner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request  | that any objection to the  | e drawing(s) be held  | l in abeyance. Se  | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing cor   | rection filed on   | is: a)□ approve   | d b)□ disappro   | ved by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 at   | nd 120   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□   | None of:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li></ul>   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  | _   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (</li> </ol>  | ng Review (PTO-948)  | 5) 🔲  |  | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/902,772

Art Unit: 1653

## Claims 1, 5, and 20-39 are pending

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1, 5, 20, 21, 31, 33, and 35, drawn to C-11 polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 32, 34, and 36-38, drawn to polynucleotide encoding C11, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- III. Claims 22, 23, and 29, drawn to antibody against C-11, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claims 24 and 25, drawn to a method for measuring calcification of cells via measuring gene expression, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- V. Claims 24, 26, and 27, drawn to a method for measuring calcification of cells via measuring protein, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VI. Claims 28, drawn to a method for diagnosing osteoarthritis via gene expression, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- VII. Claims 28, drawn to a method for diagnosing osteoarthritis via protein, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VIII. Claims 30, drawn to method for screening calcification inhibitory activity using cells transformed with gene, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- IX Claims 39, drawn to method for expressing antisense nucleic acid, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The nucleic acids of Invention II are related to the protein of Invention I by virtue of encoding same. The DNA molecule has utility for the recombinant production of the protein in a host cell, as recited in the Claims of Invention I. Although the DNA molecule and protein are related since the DNA encodes the specifically claimed protein, they are distinct inventions

Application/Control Number: 09/902,772

Art Unit: 1653

because the protein product can be made by another and materially different process, such as by synthetic peptide synthesis or purification from the natural source. Further, the DNA may be used for processes other than the production of the protein, such as nucleic acid hybridization assay.

The proteins of Invention I are related to the antibodies of Invention III by virtue of being the cognate antigen, necessary for the production of antibodies. Although the protein and antibody are related due to the necessary stearic complementarity of the two, they are distinct Inventions because the protein can be used in another and materially different process from the use for the production of the antibody, such as in a pharmaceutical composition in its own right, or to assay or purify the natural ligand of the protein (if the protein is itself a receptor), or in assays for the identification of agonists or antagonists of the receptor protein.

The nucleic acid of Invention II and the antibody of Invention III are related by virtue of the protein that is encoded by the nucleic acid and necessary for the production of the antibody. However, the nucleic acid itself is not necessary for antibody production and both are wholly different compounds having different compositions and functions. Therefore, these Inventions are distinct.

The protein of Invention I and the antibody of Invention III are not used in any of the methods of Inventions IV-IX. Therefore, Inventions I and III are patentably distinct from Inventions IV-IX.

The gene of Invention II is not used in the methods of Inventions IV-VII and IX. Therefore, Invention II is patentably distinct from Inventions IV-VI and IX.

shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP)

Art Unit: 1653

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as the recombinant production of the encoded protein, for example.

The methods of Inventions IV-IX require different products and steps and have different endpoints. Therefore, Inventions IV-IX are patentably distinct one from the other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-0034. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM, off alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low can be reached on 703-308-2329. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

\*\*\*

October 2, 2002

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Koner Cochane Contson Por